

Borough Council of
**King's Lynn &
West Norfolk**



Standards Committee

Agenda

Thursday, 19th July, 2018
at 10.00 am

in the

**Council Chamber
Town Hall
Saturday Market Place
King's Lynn**



King's Court, Chapel Street, King's Lynn, Norfolk, PE30 1EX
Telephone: 01553 616200
Fax: 01553 691663

29 June 2018

Dear Member

Standards Committee

You are invited to attend a meeting of the above-mentioned Panel which will be held on **Thursday, 19th July, 2018 at 10.00 am** in the **Council Chamber, Town Hall, Saturday Market Place, King's Lynn PE30 5DQ** to discuss the business shown below.

Yours sincerely

Chief Executive

AGENDA

1. Apologies

To receive any apologies for absence.

2. Declarations of Interest

Please indicate if there are any interests which should be declared. A declaration of an interest should indicate the nature of the interest (if not already declared on the Register of Interests) and the agenda item to which it relates. If a disclosable pecuniary interest is declared, the Member should withdraw from the room whilst the matter is discussed.

These declarations apply to all Members present, whether the Member is part of the meeting, attending to speak as a local Member on any item or simply observing the meeting from the public seating area.

3. To consider the Investigation against a Borough Councillor (Pages 5 - 26)

Paperwork:

Hearing Procedure
Investigating Officer's Report

To:

Standards Committee – Panel Members:

B Ayres (Chairman), Miss S Sandell and Mrs M Wilkinson

Alexa Baker, Investigating Officer

Emma Duncan, Legal Adviser to the Panel

Carol Wilkinson, Independent Person

Complainant

Borough Councillor

THE BOROUGH COUNCIL OF KING'S LYNN AND WEST NORFOLK
STANDARDS COMMITTEE HEARING PROCEDURE

1. The Panel

- 1.1 The Hearings Panel (a Sub-Committee of the Council's Standards Committee) shall comprise a maximum of three members of the Council's Standards Committee, including not more than one member of the Authority's Executive. The appointed Hearings Panel shall nominate a Hearings Panel Chair.
- 1.2 The Independent Person shall be invited to attend all meetings of the Hearings Panel.
- 1.3 The complainant may be asked by the Investigating Officer to attend and give evidence if the Investigating Officer considers it necessary.
- 1.4 Where the complaint refers to a Parish Councillor, if there are non-voting Parish members of the Standards Committee, one Parish member of the Standards committee may also attend.
- 1.5 The quorum for hearings by the Panel shall be three members of the Panel.

2. Opening

- 2.1 The Chair shall explain the procedure for the hearing and ask all present to introduce themselves.

3. The Complaint

- 3.1 The Investigating Officer shall be invited to present his/her report, including any documentary evidence, call such witnesses, including the complainant, as he/she considers necessary and make representations to substantiate his/her conclusion that the member has failed to comply with the Code of Conduct. The report and documentary evidence must be based on the complaint made to the Council, any additional points will not be allowed.
- 3.2 The Council member against whom the complaint has been made (or their representative) may question the Investigating Officer upon the content of their report and may question any witnesses called by the Investigating Officer. (This is the Council member's opportunity to ask questions arising from the investigator's report, but not to make a statement).

- 3.3 Members of the Hearings Panel may question the Investigating Officer upon the content of his/her report and/or question any witnesses called by the Investigating Officer.

4. The Member's Case

- 4.1 The member, against whom the complaint has been made, (or their representative) may present their case (and call witnesses as required).
- 4.2 The Investigating Officer may question the member and/or any witnesses.
- 4.3 Members of the Hearings Panel may also question the member and/or any witnesses.

5. Summing Up

- 5.1 The Investigating Officer shall sum up the complaint.
- 5.2 The member (or their representative) may sum up their case.

6. Decision

- 6.1 Members of the Hearings Panel will deliberate in private to consider the complaint in consultation with the Independent Person (if in attendance) prior to reaching a decision.
- 6.2 On the Hearings Panel's return, the Chair will announce the Panel's decision in the following terms:
 - a) the Hearings Panel decides that the member has failed to follow the Code of Conduct or;
 - b) the Hearings Panel decides that the member has not failed to follow the Code of Conduct.

The Hearing Panel will give reasons for their decision.

- 6.3 If the Hearings Panel decides that the member has failed to follow the Code of Conduct, the Panel will give the member the opportunity to make presentations to the Panel and will consider any representations from the Investigating Officer as to:
 - a) whether any action should be taken and,
 - b) what form any action should take.
- 6.4 The Hearings Panel shall then deliberate in private. They may consult with the Monitoring Officer and/or the Independent Person if present, to consider what action, if any, should be taken.
- 6.5 On the Hearings Panel's return, the Chair shall announce the Panel's decision as to any actions which the Hearings Panel resolves to take

(or in relation to a Parish Councillor their recommendation to the Parish Council).

- 6.6 The Hearings Panel shall consider whether it should make any recommendations to the Council (or in relation to a Parish Councillor, to the Parish Council) with a view to promoting high standards of conduct among Council members.
- 6.7 At the end of the meeting, the Chair shall confirm that a formal decision notice will be prepared by the Monitoring Officer and that within 7 working days following the Hearing, a copy will be sent to the complainant, and to the member and to the Parish Council, if applicable. The Chair shall also confirm that the decision notice will be made available for public inspection and reported to the next meeting of the Standards Committee.

CONFIDENTIAL

FINAL REPORT

Report of an investigation into alleged breaches of the Members' Code of Conduct by Councillor Toby Wing-Pentelow of the Borough Council of King's Lynn and West Norfolk, by Alexa Baker, investigator appointed by the Monitoring Officer of the Borough Council of King's Lynn and West Norfolk.

DATE: 24 May 2018

Contents

- 1 Definitions**
- 2 Summary**
- 3 Relevant parts of the Code of Conduct**
- 4 Facts and evidence**
- 5 Investigator's findings**

Appendices

- APPENDIX A: Copies of submissions from the Complainant**
- APPENDIX B: Copies of submissions from the Councillor**
- APPENDIX C: Copy of Lynn News Article**

1 **DEFINITIONS**

1.1 In this Report, unless there is an indication to the contrary the following expressions shall have the following meanings:

1.1.1 ‘the Code’:- the Members Code of Conduct adopted by the Council

1.1.2 ‘the Complainant’:- Joanne Rust

1.1.3 ‘the Council’:- the Borough Council of King’s Lynn and West Norfolk

1.1.4 ‘the Councillor’:- Councillor Toby Wing-Pentelow

2 **SUMMARY**

2.1 This matter has been referred for investigation by the Monitoring Officer in accordance with the Council’s assessment procedures.

2.2 It is alleged that the Councillor breached the Code in that he made an insulting remark to the Complainant at a Full Council Meeting on 25 January 2018 when the Complainant asked a question in her capacity as a member of the public. The fact of the remark being said is not in dispute, however the intended meaning and implication of the remark is disputed.

2.3 The investigation is into whether the conduct complained of fell short of the standards required under the Code.

2.4 A copy of the complaint submitted by the Complainant and further submissions are attached at Appendix A.

2.5 A copy of the written submissions from the Councillor are attached at Appendix B.

2.6 A copy of a Lynn News article reporting on the comment made is attached at Appendix C.

2.7 My finding is that the Councillor DID breach the Code of Conduct by failing to treat the Complainant with respect.

3 RELEVANT PARTS OF THE CODE OF CONDUCT

3.1 The Council has adopted the Code.

3.2 The Code contains the following provisions that are relevant to this investigation:

“As a Member of the Borough Council of King’s Lynn and West Norfolk my conduct will in particular address the statutory principles of the Code by:

Always treating people with respect, including the organisations and public I engage with and those I work alongside.”

4 **FACTS AND EVIDENCE**

- 4.1 The Councillor is a Member of the Council.
- 4.2 The Council has adopted the Code, the relevant provisions of which are set out in section 3, above.
- 4.3 As part of this investigation I enquired whether the Complainant or the Councillor had anything further to add to their submissions. In particular, by way of letters dated 16 April 2018, I invited both the Complainant and Councillor to contact me to discuss the complaint, in order that I could more fully understand their views on the context of the comment, to include their perception and rationale for it.
- 4.4 As a result of my enquiries I received additional correspondence in support of the original complaint from the Complainant and was able to speak to the Complainant by telephone on 23 April 2018. A copy of the additional correspondence is attached at Appendix A.
- 4.5 As a result of my enquiries I have not received any communication from the Councillor. A further reminder was sent to the Councillor on 8 May 2018, with the final date for responding being 14 May 2018. A draft of this report has been sent to the Councillor, however this has not prompted any response from the Councillor either. I have therefore not spoken with the Councillor about this complaint.

Background

- 4.6 At a Full Council Meeting on 25 January 2018, the Complainant, who is a member of the Labour Party, raised the issue of homelessness during public questions. The Complainant referenced the continued increase in the number of homelessness cases, and then directed a question to Councillor Adrian Lawrence, the Portfolio Holder for Housing and Community, along the lines of *"will you spend a night sleeping rough on the streets with me"*. The Councillor, who is a backbencher and therefore was not required in the form of proceedings to make any comment in response to the question, said *"What, down the docks?"*.

The Complainant's case

- 4.7 In the complaint and further communication from the Complainant (Appendix A), it is alleged that the Councillor's comment was denoting a reference to prostitution. The Complainant considers that the Councillor's meaning could have been twofold – either that the Complainant was inviting Councillor Lawrence for sex or implying that every female homeless person is a prostitute. The Complainant considers this to have been a display of sexism and she found the comment grossly offensive. She therefore immediately addressed the Monitoring Officer to say that she wished to raise a Point of Order, to which the Monitoring Officer confirmed that the Complainant was aware of the complaints procedure.

4.8 The Councillor's explanation for the comment (see below) was put to the Complainant and she was asked for her views on this. The Complainant first commented that the Purfleet Trust is not actually at the docks, it's nearer the Port, and when questioned about her view on the delivery of the comment, the Complainant stated that it was definitely not said in a genuinely inquisitive way, and that in the Complainant's view it was said in a way to draw attention to her being a woman. The Complainant considers the response to the comment in the Chamber was one of shock and horror.

4.9 The Complainant also highlights that the Councillor has neither recognised or acknowledged that his remark was grossly offensive. The Complainant is a constituent of the Councillor's ward, and the Complainant considers that this failure calls into question the Councillor's ability to represent the constituents in his ward.

The Councillor's case

4.10 In an email dated 17 February 2018, the Councillor acknowledges receipt of the complaint and confirms, *"Will let you know my response after have discussed it with my legal advisors."* The Monitoring Officer sent a further email to the Councillor on 1 March 2018 chasing a response. On 2 March 2018 the Councillor confirmed by email, *"Will try and sort it Monday."* On 6 March 2018 the Councillor emails the following explanation for the comment made, *"It is a clear reference to the Purfleet Trust which as you are well aware is based at the docks entrance."*

4.11 The Councillor has been invited to apologise for his comment but has declined to do so, stating that there is nothing to apologise for.

5 FINDINGS

5.1 Unfortunately, the Councillor has not contacted me to explain in more detail his rationale and the intention behind the comment he made. I have therefore not been able to put the same questions to the Councillor that I put to the Complainant. I have consequently based my findings on the evidence available to me as set out in the appendices to this report.

5.2 I consider that a reference to going or being 'down the docks' is local common parlance for referencing prostitution which has historically centred around the docks in port towns such King's Lynn.

5.3 The Councillor appears to state that the purpose for the comment was that it was a genuine question regarding whether the Complainant was suggesting that she and Councillor Lawrence sleep rough at or around the Purfleet Trust. I do not find this to be a credible explanation for the following reasons:

5.3.1 The Purfleet Trust provides support to those who are homeless, whereas the Complainant was inviting Councillor Lawrence to experience the harsh challenges and realities of sleeping rough on the streets, as a homeless person would. It therefore does not seem logical that the Councillor would be so interested to know whether the

intended location of their sleeping rough would be at a service designed to help and alleviate the pressures of homelessness, as this clearly was not the purpose of the challenge.

- 5.3.2 The Complainant's question was directed to Councillor Lawrence in his capacity as Portfolio Holder for Housing and Community. It was therefore highly contrary to the order of proceedings for the Councillor, as a backbencher, to interject Councillor Lawrence's response by asking a counter-question, allegedly seeking clarification of the location of where it was proposed they would be sleeping rough. I consider that the Councillor, in his capacity as a Member of the Council, is well aware of the order of proceedings at Full Council Meetings and that he knows he cannot interject responses to public questions so as to answer them himself. What is more likely, is that the comment was said as a rhetorical question for purported and highly misjudged comedic effect.
- 5.3.3 If it were the case that the Councillor was asking a genuine question about the location of where the Complainant proposed to sleep rough, I find it highly unusual that the Councillor would not seek to correct and clarify himself at the time, when it became clear from the response of the Chamber (said to be one of shock and horror) and the Complainant's response of addressing the Monitoring Officer, that the comment had apparently been misconstrued.
- 5.3.4 Equally as perplexing, is that when the Councillor received the complaint, he did not immediately explain what he now suggests was a complete misunderstanding. Instead, the Councillor gave a holding response, citing the need to take legal advice. Only 17 days later did the Councillor put forward his rather brief explanation of what he intended by the comment. Given that the Councillor had taken the time to provide a holding response on 17 February 2018 and also to confirm by email on 2 March 2018 that a response would be forthcoming, it is difficult to understand why the Councillor would not have just provided his brief explanation within one these emails.
- 5.3.5 Given the allegations made, and even if accepting the Councillor's account of what he intended by his comment, one would have expected the Councillor to be dismayed that the Complainant, who was ultimately asking a question as a member of the public notwithstanding her membership of the Labour Party, had so badly misconstrued a comment he had made. If the Councillor displayed some insight into the comment made, it is very easy to see how it could have come across as a reference to prostitution. Nevertheless, the Councillor maintains that there is nothing to apologise for.
- 5.4 The comment made by the Councillor was reported on by the media. At Appendix C, there is a copy of a Lynn News article on the matter. The Complainant informs me that the comment was also reported on in the Eastern Daily Press. The article at Appendix C clearly reports the comment as a negative inference, stating that it "overshadowed" the debate on

homelessness. Whilst the article does not explicitly make a connection between the comment and an implied reference to prostitution, I consider that this is because it goes without saying, given that this reference is in such common usage and understanding. This kind of reporting reflects poorly on the Council as a whole.

5.5 Accordingly, I find that the comment made was insulting to the Complainant and that the Councillor DID breach the Code by failing to treat the Complainant with respect, and that by failing to apologise to the Complainant, despite being invited to do so, the Councillor has thereby aggravated the severity of the breach.

6 INDEPENDANT PERSON

6.1 A draft of this report has been provided to the Independent Person appointed in this matter, Carol Wilkinson.

6.2 Mrs Wilkinson has confirmed the following in response to the draft reports:

"The investigation is as thorough as it can be in the circumstances (given Councillor Wing-Pentelow's failure to engage fully with the process). And, the findings set in 5.3 are based on sound reasoning."

APPENDIX A:

It is important that you provide all the information you wish to have taken into account by the Monitoring Officer when she decides whether to take any action on your complaint. For example:

- You should be specific, wherever possible, about exactly what you are alleging the Councillor said or did. For instance, instead of writing that the Councillor insulted you, you should state what it was they said.
- You should provide the dates of the alleged incidents wherever possible. If you cannot provide exact dates it is important to give a general timeframe.
- You should confirm whether there are any witnesses to the alleged conduct and provide their names and contact details if possible.
- You should provide any relevant background information.

Please provide us with the details of your complaint. Continue on a separate sheet if there is not enough space on this form.

on Thursday 25th January I attended full council meeting as a member of the public. I had submitted a question about homelessness. After asking my submitted question I then asked a subsidiary question. I noted that homelessness had increased for the 7th year in a row and I invited the councillors to join me in sleeping out on the streets for a night to get an understanding of what it was like to be homeless. At this question Cllr Toby Wing Pentelaw said "what, down the docks". In our area reference to women going down the docks refers to prostitution. Cllr Wing-Pentelaw implied, in a full council meeting while there to undertake council duties, in public and in front of the press, that I was a prostitute. This casual, everyday sexism is not only hugely insulting, but grossly offensive. Witnesses are every councillor present, 3 members of the press, another member of the public and a town hall mayor's assistant. Everyone heard him and everyone understood what he meant to mean by his comment. To imply that a parliamentary candidate engages in the act of selling sex is slander. His casual sexism is not ^{benign} ~~just~~ a councillor but this also falls under the Equality Act and I should be protected from discrimination, harassment and victimisation due to my sex

Alexa Baker

From: joanne rust <jo4nn3rust@hotmail.co.uk>
Sent: 16 April 2018 16:55
To: Alexa Baker
Subject: Re: Code of Conduct Complaint against Cllr Toby Wing-Pentelow

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Dear Alexa

Thank you for this communication about the investigation in to the conduct of Cllr Toby Wing Pentelow.

Would you like me to tan you through my recollection of the event of write it in an email?

There were several twitter comments made y other councillors about this matter. Alistair Beales and Patrick Rochford both commented that an apology should be given and that the comment was inappropriate.

It was a full council meeting so there will be a roll call of who was present, including the monitoring officer herself who was sitting at the top table with the mayor and deputy mayor. There were also three members of the press present, each of whom interviewed me about the comment - Jill Bennett Radio Norfolk, Taz Ali EDP and Alistair Webb Lynn News.

So with regard to witnesses, there are many that you can approach.

Will you please advise me what more you'd like from me and how you'd like me to communicate it.

Best wishes

Jo Rust
07789 960 815

Sent from my iPhone

On 16 Apr 2018, at 15:21, Alexa Baker <Alexa.Baker@north-norfolk.gov.uk> wrote:

Mrs Rust,

Please see attached correspondence of today's date for your attention.

I have assumed that for these purposes, you are agreeable to communicating via email. If this is not correct, and you would prefer that I correspond in writing by post, please notify me of the address you wish me to write to and I shall arrange for a hardcopy of the attached to be sent out forthwith.

Many thanks.

Alexa.

Alexa Baker
Local Government Lawyer
+441263 516006

Alexa Baker

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 23 May 2018 14:51
To: Alexa Baker
Cc: joanne rust
Subject: Response to draft report on councillor code of conduct complaint.

Dear Alexa,

Please find Jo Rusts response. We are currently away and she is unable to access her emails so I am sending this on her behalf.

Kind regards
[REDACTED]

Dear Alexa

I have thoroughly read your draft report in relation to my complaint against Councillor Wing-Pentelow.

I note that the code of conduct relates to the council and is not linked to a political party. Cllr Wing-Pentelow was cognisant of the code he was expected to adhere to and indeed, was made recently aware due to the situation between Cllr Rochford and Cllr Squires. Wing- Pentelow's actions have brought the council into disrepute and he should be removed from his elected position to reflect the seriousness of the breach of the code.

In addition, he has failed to either recognise or acknowledge that his remark was grossly offensive. Wing-Pentelow is my own borough councillor and this failure calls into question his ability to represent the constituents in his ward.

I would like him to be expelled from the council.

Best wishes

Jo Rust

Sent from my iPhone

APPENDIX B:

Alexa Baker

From: Emma Duncan <Emma.Duncan@West-Norfolk.gov.uk>
Sent: 17 February 2018 12:34
To: Emma Duncan
Subject: FW: Complaint

From: Cllr Toby Wing-Pentelow
Sent: 17 February 2018 12:34:03 (UTC) Dublin, Edinburgh, Lisbon, London
To: Emma Duncan
Subject: Complaint

Hi Emma

Just picked up my post [REDACTED] to find the Rust allegation. Will let you know my response after have discussed it with my legal advisors.

Regards
Cllr Toby Wing-Pentelow
Springwood Ward

07885 258411



<https://www.lovestnorfolk.co.uk/>

This email and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this email in error please notify the system manager.

https://www.west-norfolk.gov.uk/info/20147/about_our_website/470/disclaimer

Alexa Baker

From: Cllr Toby Wing-Pentelow <cllr.Toby.Wing-Pentelow@West-Norfolk.gov.uk>
Sent: 02 March 2018 10:11
To: Emma Duncan
Subject: RE: FYI

Will try and sort it Monday. [REDACTED]

Regards
Cllr Toby Wing-Pentelow
Springwood Ward

07885 258411

From: Emma Duncan <Emma.Duncan@north-norfolk.gov.uk>
Date: Thursday, 01 Mar 2018, 12:40 pm
To: Cllr Toby Wing-Pentelow <cllr.Toby.Wing-Pentelow@West-Norfolk.gov.uk>
Subject: RE: FYI

Many thanks Toby, for letting me know.

On another matter – when can I expect your response to the Jo Rust complaint?

Best wishes

Emma

From: Cllr Toby Wing-Pentelow
Sent: 01 March 2018 12:02:57 (UTC) Dublin, Edinburgh, Lisbon, London
To: Emma Duncan
Subject: FYI

Hi Emma

[REDACTED]

Regards
Cllr Toby Wing-Pentelow
Springwood Ward

07885 258411



Alexa Baker

From: Cllr Toby Wing-Pentelow <cllr.Toby.Wing-Pentelow@West-Norfolk.gov.uk>
Sent: 06 March 2018 10:23
To: Emma Duncan
Subject: RE: FYI

Hi Emma

In response to the alleged remark.

It is a clear reference to the Purfleet Trust which as you are well aware is based at the docks entrance.

Sorry for the late response but my health comes first.

Regards
Cllr Toby Wing-Pentelow
Springwood Ward

07885 258411

From: Emma Duncan <Emma.Duncan@north-norfolk.gov.uk>
Date: Thursday, 01 Mar 2018, 12:40 pm
To: Cllr Toby Wing-Pentelow <cllr.Toby.Wing-Pentelow@West-Norfolk.gov.uk>
Subject: RE: FYI

Many thanks Toby, for letting me know.

On another matter – when can I expect your response to the Jo Rust complaint?

Best wishes

Emma

From: Cllr Toby Wing-Pentelow
Sent: 01 March 2018 12:02:57 (UTC) Dublin, Edinburgh, Lisbon, London
To: Emma Duncan
Subject: FYI

Hi Emma


Regards
Cllr Toby Wing-Pentelow
Springwood Ward

07885 258411

Alexa Baker

From: Cllr Toby Wing-Pentelow <cllr.Toby.Wing-Pentelow@West-Norfolk.gov.uk>
Sent: 09 April 2018 08:25
To: Emma Duncan
Subject: RE: Standards Complaint

Hi Emma

There is nothing to apologise for.

Regards
Cllr Toby Wing-Pentelow
Springwood Ward

07885 258411

From: Emma Duncan <Emma.Duncan@north-norfolk.gov.uk>
Date: Thursday, 29 Mar 2018, 6:33 pm
To: Cllr Toby Wing-Pentelow <cllr.Toby.Wing-Pentelow@West-Norfolk.gov.uk>
Subject: Standards Complaint

Dear Toby

I haven't heard from you in relation to the Decision Notice, so am enclosing it in case you have not received it.

I look forward to hearing from you.

Best wishes

Emma

Emma Duncan
Cllr
Springwood Ward



North Norfolk District Council

This E-mail and any files transmitted with it are private and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. It may contain sensitive or protectively marked material up to RESTRICTED and should be handled accordingly. If you are not the intended recipient, the E-mail and any files have been transmitted to you in error and any copying, distribution or other use of the information contained in them is strictly prohibited. Please notify the sender immediately if you have received it in error.

Nothing in this E-mail message amounts to a contractual or other legal commitment on the part of the Council unless confirmed by a communication signed by a properly authorised officer of the Council.

APPENDIX C:

[Home](#) > [News](#) > Article

West Norfolk councillor responds to rough sleeping challenge by asking: 'Is that down the docks?'



Borough Council of King's Lynn & West Norfolk, King's Court Hq, Chapel Street King's Lynn

A debate on homelessness was overshadowed when a West Norfolk councillor asked whether an invitation to experience a night sleeping rough would be "down the docks."

Labour activist Jo Rust raised the issue during public questions at last night's full council meeting.

Following the publication of new figures which showed the number of homelessness cases nationally had risen for a seventh successive year, Mrs Rust challenged members of the Conservative administration to spend a night with her sleeping rough on the streets.

However, a councillor then responded: "Is that down the docks?" The remark appeared to come from the Conservatives backbenches.

Mrs Rust said she wanted to lodge a complaint about the remark, which officials offered to discuss with her after the meeting.

Housing portfolio holder Adrian Lawrence insisted the authority was doing "everything we can" to tackle the problem.

He said the council had worked with Genesis Housing to provide six emergency access beds, which have been in use since November, plus an emergency shelter. He added that the shelter had not been in continuous use.

MOST READ



[Do you have what it takes to escape at King's Lynn's newest attraction?](#)



[King's Lynn hospital staff to protest over parking charge hikes](#)



[September date to determine King's Lynn choir's future](#)



[King's Lynn chapel stalwart honoured for project work](#)



[Author's story of Olympic hockey triumph shortlisted for book award](#)